UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/797,606 | 03/11/2004 | Masato Kurokawa | 042190 | 3867 |
| | 7590 01/23/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL | | EXAM | IINER |
| | TICUT AVENUE, NV | | GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R | |
| WASHINGTON | N, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1654 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/23/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Intonious Summans | 10/797,606 | KUROKAWA ET | AL. | | |
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE | 1654 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) <u>SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>Mr. Ryan Chirnomas</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 January 2009</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | t)∏ applicant's representative | ·] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: None. | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Mr. Chirnomas called to discuss the issue of sequence compliance. Applicant was adviced to set up an interview with the office to clarify the issues at hand.</u> | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| /Satyanarayana R Gudibande/ Examiner. Art Unit 1654 | | | | | |